

**REMARKS**

In the Office Communication, further to the Restriction and Election of Species Requirement dated March 29, 2007, the Examiner contends that Applicant's response dated May 23, 2007, was not fully responsive. See Aug. 10, 2007, Office Communication at 2. Specifically, the Examiner states that Applicant's election of the species "alkyl acrylate + styrene + (meth)acrylic acid (e.g., the polymer of Example 1)" is "not clear." *Id.* The Examiner notes that Example 2 is drawn to acrylate (or an acrylate mixture), and argues that Applicant must elect a specific acrylate for either Example 1 or Example 2. *Id.* Accordingly, to be responsive, Applicant elects ethyl acrylate (Example 1).


If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this Statement, please charge the fee to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: September 10, 2007

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Aaron M. Raphael  
Reg. No. 47,885